
N.C. Department of Transportation

Condition Assessment and Funding Needs for North Carolina's Highway System






















Report to the Joint Legislative Transportation Oversight Committee

December 7, 2012 Terry Gibson, PE



















Biennial Report on Maintenance Requirements

G.S. 136-44.3

Requires NCDOT to:

- Establish Performance Standards
- Project an annual cost to meet and sustain the performance standards for routine maintenance and operations
- Develop a cost for Pavement and Bridge Preservation
- Develop a cost for Pavement and Bridge Rehabilitation
- Project System Condition at optimal funding for 7 years





















North Carolina State Highway System 2012

- 79,478 road miles
- 170,947 paved lane miles
- 4,358 miles of unpaved roads
- 18,265 structures




9,000 signals























System Breakdown

System	Mileage	Examples
Interstates (I)	1,299 RM	I-40, I-85, I-77
Primary (US & NC)	13,754 RM	US 29, US 64, NC 210, NC 42
Secondary (Paved)	60,068 RM	SR 1001, SR 2049, SR 3010
Secondary (Unpaved)	4,357 RM	SR 1825, SR 2256, SR 1705























Highway System Usage

(Vehicle Miles Traveled)























State Budget Allocation FY 2013 (Millions)

Highway Maintenance	\$ 385
Contract Resurfacing	\$ 427
System Preservation	\$ 235
General Maintenance Reserves	\$ 140
HB 1825 Secondary Road Improvement (Paved)	\$ 66
HB 1825 Secondary Road Improvement (Unpaved)	\$ 12

Total

\$ 1,265 Million





















Federal TIP Funding Federal Fiscal 2013 (Millions)

ITS Traffic Operations	\$ 13
Positive Guidance Program	\$ 4
Traffic Systems Operations Program	\$ 26
Bridge Preventative Maintenance Program	\$ 5
Low Impact Bridge Replacement	\$ 28
Interstate Maintenance Preservation Program	\$ 10

Total

\$ 86 Million






















History of Routine Maintenance Funding

























History of Resurfacing Funding























Performance Based Management

Benefits include:

- Moving towards uniformly constructed, maintained and operated Highway System
- Data driven decision making
- Increased focus on preventive maintenance
- Targeting Level Of Service by system
- Highest and best use of resources
- Accountability























Rating the Condition of the Highway System



Maintenance Condition Survey

Pavement Condition Survey




Bridge Condition Survey























Maintenance Condition Survey Results

	Roadway		In	terstate	F	Primary	Se	condary
			2012	State Average	2012	State Average	2012	State Average
	ELEMENT	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	Target	Score	Target	Score	Target	Score
		No dropoffs greater than 3 inches and no						
DRAINAGE	Unpaved Shoulders	shoulders higher than 2 inches	95	92	90	92	85	93
	Ditches (Lateral Ditches)	3		99	90	97	85	96
	Crossline Pipe (Blocked)	Greater than 50% diameter open		87	90	81	85	82
		No damage or structural deficiency effecting						
Z	Crossline Pipe (Damaged)	functionality	95	91	90	97	85	96
Ά/	Curb & Gutter (Blocked)	No obstruction greater than 2 inches for 2 feet	95	96	90	97	85	97
ద		Grates and outlet pipes of boxes blocked <50%.						1
		Inlets and outlets of boxes are not damaged,						
	Boxes (Blocked or Damaged)	and grates are present and not broken.	95	84	90	90	85	92
		•	_			-	_	-
		Freeways: 45' from travelway, 5' behind						
		guardrail, not blocking signs; Non-Freeways:						
		Vertical clearance of 15' over roadway and 10'						
≅	Vegetation (Brush & Tree)	back of ditch centerline or shoulder point	90	92	85	90	80	86
ROADSIDE	Vegetation (Turf Condition)	Areas free of erosion	95	91	90	94	85	94
Α	Stormwater Devices (NPDES)) Functioning as designed		94	90	94	90	94
ĸ		Achieving a score of 2 or higher on the						
	Landscape Plant Beds	inspection form	90	90	80	90	N/A	N/A
	Rest Areas & Welcome Centers	Condition Rating of 90	90	96	90	93	N/A	N/A
	•							
2	Long Line Pavement Markings	Present, visible	90	96	85	94	80	88
	Words and Symbols	Present, visible	N/A	N/A	85	87	80	85
ᄩ	Pavement Markers	Present and reflective	90	91	85	81	N/A	N/A
TRAFFIC	Ground Mounted Signs	Visible and legible	90	94	85	94	85	89
_	Overhead Signs	Visible and legible	92	99	85	97	N/A	N/A
		<u> </u>						
Ж	NBIS Culverts	Condition Rating >= 6	85	86	80	87	75	89
BRIDGE	Non-NBIS Culverts	Condition Rating = Good	80	81	70	72	60	56
BR	Overhead Sign Structures	Condition Rating = Good	95	88	92	93	92	84
	Totals	T	91.32	91.43	86.16	90.27	82.16	87.43
	iotais		91.32	91.43	00.10	90.27	02.16	87.43














































Pavement Condition






























Bridge Condition Survey Results

В	Bridges		Inte	erstate	F	Primary	Secondary		Statewide
			2012	State Average	2002	State Average	2012	State Average	State Average
	ELEMENT	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	Target	Score	Target	Score	Target	Score	Score
	Concrete		85	84	80	80	75	84	82
Bridge Deck	Timber	% of decks rating less than	85	N/A	80	79	75	89	89
Bri	Steel Planks	or equal to 6	85	N/A	80	67	75	84	83
	Open Grid Steel		85	N/A	80	58	75	0	50
ture	Concrete	% of superstructure rating less than or equal to 6	90	80	85	59	80	65	61
Superstructure	Steel Planks		90	88	85	82	80	79	81
	P/S Concrete		90	96	85	96	80	94	95
	Timber		90	N/A	85	49	80	70	70
Substructure	Timber	% of substructure rating less than or equal to 6	90	N/A	85	44	80	46	46
	Concrete Pile		90	78	85	75	80	87	82
lbst	Steel Pile		90	88	85	85	80	83	84
S	Concrete Piers		90	91	85	83	80	77	80

























Bridge Condition
































Effect of Lack of System Preservation Funds on Pavements























Infrastructure Health Index

- Calculates an overall system score
- Measures NCDOT's success for maintaining and improving the health of the highway network

Weights asset categories:

- 25% for roadsides features
- 35% for bridges
- 40% for pavements






















Infrastructure Health Index
























Validating Performance Measures

- Public told us:
 - Interstate: Meeting expectations with no areas of concern
 - Primary: Meeting expectations and identified shoulders as an area of concern
 - Paved Secondary: Slightly below expectation and focus areas include pavement condition, smoothness, width of travel lanes, roadway striping and markers

















Moode






Maintenance Funding Needs FY 2013-2014 (Millions)

	<u>ineeds</u>
Maintenance Operations	\$ 765.97
• Disasters	\$ 15.00
Contract Resurfacing	\$ 427.16
Pavement and Bridge Preservation	\$ 195.59
Total Maintenance and Preservation Needs	\$ 1,403.72
Alternate Maintenance Funds	- \$ 152.00
Adjusted Maintenance Funding Needs	\$ 1,251.72
System Rehabilitation Needs	\$ 320.81





















Statewide Annual Maintenance Funding Plan

Fiscal Year (\$ millions)									
Maintenance Programs	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018				
Maintenance and Operations	765.97	792.01	818.94	846.79	875.58				
Disasters/Emergencies	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00				
Contract Resurfacing	427.16	441.68	456.70	472.23	488.28				
Pavement and Bridge Preserv	195.59	202.24	209.12	216.23	223.58				
Total Maint. Funding Needed	1,403.72	1,450.35	1,498.55	1,548.40	1,599.94				
Supplemental Maint. Funds	152.00	152.00	152.00	152.00	152.00				
Estimated Maint. Fund Allocation	\$ 1,188.18	\$ 1,248.90	\$ 1,309.59	\$1,317.58	\$ 1,413.24				
Shortfall	(63.54)	(49.45)	(36.96)	(78.82)	(34.70)				























LOS at Current Funding Level





Year





















Estimated Dollars to Maintain Current LOS

























State Funding Needed to Meet Target LOS
























Summary

- Meeting targets on roadside features
- Pavements and bridges are trending in the right direction
- Public validation of targets
- Funding only slightly below need
- Need more flexibility in funding























Questions?

Report Located at:

https://connect.ncdot.gov/resources/stateroads/Pages/MCAP.aspx